

Non-compact arithmetic manifolds have simple homotopy type preprint

TSACHIK GELANDER

Hebrew University

Jerusalem 91904, Israel

E-mail: tsachik@math.huji.ac.il

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We formulate a conjecture that arithmetic locally symmetric manifolds have simple homotopy type, and prove it for the non-compact case. More precisely, we show that, for any symmetric space S of non-compact type without Euclidean de Rham factors, there are constants $\alpha = \alpha(S)$ and $d = d(S)$ such that any non-compact arithmetic manifold, locally isometric to S , is homotopically equivalent to a simplicial complex whose vertices degrees are bounded by d , and its number of vertices is bounded by α times the Riemannian volume. It is very likely that such a result holds also for compact arithmetic manifolds.

We conclude that, for any fixed universal covering, S , other than the hyperbolic plane, there are at most V^{CV} irreducible non-compact arithmetic manifolds with volume $\leq V$, where $C = C(S)$ is a constant depending on S . Since higher rank irreducible locally symmetric manifolds of finite volume are always arithmetic, our result quantifies the number of them which are non-compact.

1 Introduction

Let S be a symmetric space of non compact type without Euclidean de Rham factors. An arithmetic S -manifold is a Riemannian manifold of the

form $M = S/\Gamma$, where $\Gamma \leq \text{Isom}(S)$ is a torsion-free arithmetic lattice in the Lie group $\text{Isom}(S)$ of isometries of S .

In this paper we prove that if M is a non-compact arithmetic S -manifolds with small volume then it has a simple homotopy type. We expect that such a result holds also for compact arithmetic manifolds. In fact, the compact case follows from a weak version of the Lehmer's conjecture on the Mahler's measure of integral polynomial. Formally, we prove the following conjecture for non-compact manifolds.

Conjecture 1.1. *There are constants $\alpha(S), d(S)$, such that any arithmetic S -manifold $M = S/\Gamma$ with volume $\mu(M)$ is homotopically equivalent to a simplicial complex with at most $\alpha(S) \cdot \mu(M)$ vertices for which all vertices degrees are $\leq d(S)$.*

Such information could be used in order to quantify things which are known to be finite, such as the number of generators or the size of a finite presentation for the fundamental group, as well as properties the homology/cohomology groups, (e.g. the Betti numbers) of such manifolds, in terms of their volume. The author's main motivation is to quantify the number of locally symmetric manifolds for a given universal covering.

A classical theorem of H.C. Wang says that if S is not isometric to one of the hyperbolic spaces $\mathbb{H}^2$ or $\mathbb{H}^3$, then for any $V > 0$ there are only finitely many complete irreducible Riemannian manifolds locally isometric to S with total volume $\leq V$, up to isometries (see [15] 8.1, [2] 8.3, and [7] 6.5). We remark that Wang's result and proof do not give explicit estimates.

By Mostow's rigidity theorem, a locally symmetric manifold (with universal covering other than $\mathbb{H}^2$) is determined by its fundamental group. Applying our result, we obtain a quantitative estimate on the number of non-compact arithmetic manifolds with bounded volume. This estimate, like the results established in [5] and in [7], can be considered as a step towards "a quantitative version of Wang's theorem".

The method used in [5] and in [7] is to show that each of the manifolds under consideration has fundamental group isomorphic to the fundamental group of a two dimensional simplicial complex with the above restrictions on the number of vertices and on the degrees. It is not clear in general whether such a manifold is homotopically equivalent to a "simple" simplicial complex.

In [5] it is shown that for $n \geq 4$, the number $\rho_{\mathbb{H}^n}(V)$ of complete hyperbolic n -manifolds with volume $\leq V$ satisfies

$$a_n V \log V \leq \log \rho_{\mathbb{H}^n}(V) \leq b_n V \log V$$

for some constants a_n, b_n whenever V is not too small.

In [7] similar upper bounds are given for any rank-1 symmetric space other than $\mathbb{H}^2, \mathbb{H}^3$, and for some examples of higher rank symmetric spaces (e.g. for $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^3$ and for $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$). For general S other than $\mathbb{H}^2, \mathbb{H}^3$ and $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$, theorem 3.1 in [7] gives an upper bound for the number of compact *regular* S -manifolds. The problem of finding upper bounds for compact non-regular manifolds is still open. Similarly, no upper bound is known for the number of compact regular manifolds with universal cover $S = \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$.

In this note we settle the non-compact case. We show that for any S other than $\mathbb{H}^2$ the number $\rho_S^{ar., n-c}(V)$ of irreducible arithmetic non-compact Riemannian manifolds of type S with volume $\leq V$, satisfies the following asymptotic estimate:

$$\log \rho_S^{ar., n-c}(V) \leq C(s)V \log V.$$

Note that, by Margulis' arithmeticity theorem, any higher rank irreducible locally symmetric space is arithmetic, while the rank-1 case is already dealt with in [7].

Our result also applies to the symmetric space $\mathbb{H}^3$. The finiteness of the number of arithmetic hyperbolic 3-manifolds with bounded volume, as well as the finiteness of the number of arithmetic hyperbolic 2-manifolds, was established in [2].

The bounds obtained here and in [5] and [7] are independent of the symmetric space S , up to a normalization of the Riemannian metric. However, in the general case, these bounds might be quite far from the real asymptotic behavior. It seems, in view of [8], that the problem of determining the real asymptotic behavior is closely related to the congruence subgroup problem.

2 Statements and proofs

Our result is:

Theorem 2.1. *Let S be a symmetric space of non compact type without Euclidean de Rham factors. Then there are constants $\alpha = \alpha(S)$ and $d = d(S)$ such that if $M = S/\Gamma$ is a non-compact arithmetic manifold with Riemannian volume $\mu(M)$, then M is homotopically equivalent to a simplicial complex with at most $\alpha \cdot \mu(M)$ vertices for which all the vertices degrees are bounded by d .*

A main motivation is the following application:

Theorem 2.2. *If S is not isometric to the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^2$, then there is a constant $C = C(S)$ such that for any $V > 0$, the number of isometric classes of non-compact irreducible arithmetic manifolds $M = S/\Gamma$ with volume $\mu(M) \leq V$ is at most $V^{C \cdot V}$.*

Remark 2.3. If S has no $\mathbb{H}^2$ -factors then the irreducibility assumption in 2.2 is unnecessary. If S has $\mathbb{H}^2$ -factors, then it is enough to require irreducibility in the $\mathbb{H}^2$ -factors. Principally, the estimation in 2.2 follows from 2.1 for all S -manifolds which have Mostow rigidity.

Remark 2.4. Parts of the proof of 2.1 runs along the same lines as the argument in [5] and [7]. We shall repeat this argument below and describe the additions and modifications which must be made in it in order to prove 2.1. Some of the lemmas (e.g. 2.16) were copied from [7], we bring them here again for the convenience of the reader. We will also indicate how to conclude 2.2 from 2.1 (this is a word by word repetition of a short argument which already appears in [5]).

We begin with an outline of the proofs of 2.1 and 2.2. Complete details and formal definitions will be supplied later.

Fix a small enough $\epsilon = \epsilon(S) > 0$, and decompose any such M into two parts $M_{\leq \epsilon^u}$ and $M_{\geq \epsilon^u} = \overline{M \setminus M_{\leq \epsilon^u}}$. In [5] and in [7] the ordinary thick-thin decomposition was used. Here we use a slightly different decomposition which we call *the unipotent thick-thin decomposition* (see definition 2.7 below). We will show that $M_{\geq \epsilon^u}$ is a connected manifold with boundary, homotopically equivalent to M . We then show how to modify $M_{\geq \epsilon^u}$ to the subset $M_{\geq u} = M \setminus (M_{\leq \epsilon^u})_\epsilon$, which is homotopically the same as $M_{\geq \epsilon^u}$, but on which we have some control on the curvature of the boundary. We will show that the injectivity radius at any point in the modified unipotent thick part $M_{\geq u}$ is at least ϵ/m for some fixed constant $m = m(S) \in \mathbb{N}$. We will also show that for any point on the boundary of the modified unipotent thin part, there is a unit tangent vector with respect to which the directional derivative of the distance function from the unipotent thin part is homogeneously bounded. As shown in detail in [7], this geometric information enables us to construct a simplicial complex within the modified unipotent thick part which is homotopically equivalent to it, in such a way so that the volume

information $\mu(M)$ translates to the desired combinatorial conditions on this simplicial complex. The construction of such a simplicial complex is done by finding a good cover (in the sense of [4]) for the modified unipotent thick part $M_{\geq u}$ which consist of at most $\alpha \cdot \mu(M)$ sets, each of them intersects at most d of the others. The nerve of this cover constitutes a simplicial complex which satisfied the conditions stated in 2.1.

Mostow's rigidity theorem implies that non-isometric locally symmetric manifolds have non-isomorphic fundamental groups. The fundamental group of a simplicial complex is the one of its 2-skeleton. A rough estimation on the number of 2-dimensional simplicial complexes with bounded degrees and with bounded number of vertices, yields 2.2.

We start with a variant of the Margulis lemma. Let G denote the Lie group of isometries of S ,

$$G = \text{Isom}(S).$$

G is center-free, semi-simple without compact factors, and with finitely many connected components. Hence there is an algebraic structure, with respect to which G is a real algebraic group. For $g \in G$ we denote by $d_g : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the displacement function

$$d_g(x) = d(x, g \cdot x).$$

In what follows μ denotes a fixed Haar measure on G , as well as the Riemannian measure on S .

Lemma 2.5. *There are constants $\epsilon = \epsilon(S) > 0$ and $m = m(S) \in \mathbb{N}$, such that if $\Gamma \leq G$ is a non-uniform torsion-free arithmetic lattice, then for any $x \in S$, the group of real points of the Zariski closure $\overline{\Gamma_\epsilon(x)}^z$ of the group*

$$\Gamma_\epsilon(x) = \langle \gamma \in \Gamma : d_\gamma(x) \leq \epsilon \rangle$$

has at most m connected components, and its identity component is a unipotent group.

Proof. Let $\Omega_1 \subset G$ be a Zassenhaus neighborhood (see [13] definition 8.22 and theorem 8.16), and let $\Omega_2 \subset G$ be an identity neighborhood for which the intersection $\Omega_2 \cap \Delta$ consists of unipotent elements only, for any non-uniform arithmetic lattice $\Delta \leq G$ (see [9] 4.21 page 322). Let $\Omega \subset G$ be a relatively compact symmetric identity neighborhood which satisfies $\Omega^2 \subset \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$.

Fix

$$m > \inf_{h \in G} \frac{\mu(\{g \in G : d_g(x) \leq 1\} \cdot h\Omega h^{-1})}{\mu(\Omega)},$$

and

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{m}.$$

As G is unimodular, m is independent of x . Replacing Ω by its conjugation $h\Omega h^{-1}$, if needed, we can assume that

$$m > \frac{\mu(\{g \in G : d_g(x) \leq 1\} \cdot \Omega)}{\mu(\Omega)}.$$

Let

$$\Gamma_\Omega = \langle \Gamma \cap \Omega^2 \rangle.$$

Then

$$[\Gamma_\epsilon(x) : \Gamma_\epsilon(x) \cap \Gamma_\Omega] \leq m.$$

To see this, assume for a moment that this index was $\geq m + 1$. Then we could find $m + 1$ representative $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{m+1} \in \Gamma_\epsilon(x)$ for different cosets of $\Gamma_\epsilon(x) \cap \Gamma_\Omega$ in the ball of radius m in $\Gamma_\epsilon(x)$ according to the word metric with respect to the generating set $\{\gamma \in \Gamma_\epsilon(x) : d_\gamma(x) \leq \epsilon\}$. As they belong to different cosets, $\gamma_i\Omega \cap \gamma_j\Omega = \emptyset$ for any $1 \leq i < j \leq m + 1$. Since $d_{\gamma_i}(x) \leq m \cdot \epsilon = 1$ these γ_i 's are all in $\{g \in G : d_g(x) \leq 1\}$, this contradicts the assumption $m \cdot \mu(\Omega) > \mu(\{g \in G : d_g(x) \leq 1\} \cdot \Omega)$.

It follows from the Kazhdan-Margulis theorem (see [13] theorem 8.16) that Γ_Ω is contained in a connected nilpotent Lie subgroup of G , and therefore, by Lie's theorem, Γ_Ω is triangulizable over $\mathbb{C}$. As Γ_Ω is generated by unipotent elements, it follows that Γ_Ω is a group of unipotent elements. Thus the Zariski closure $\overline{\Gamma_\Omega}^z$ is unipotent algebraic group, and the group of its real points $(\overline{\Gamma_\Omega}^z)_\mathbb{R}$ is connected in the Hausdorff topology. Similarly, its subgroup $(\overline{\Gamma_\epsilon(x) \cap \Gamma_\Omega}^z)_\mathbb{R}$ is connected. Clearly $(\overline{\Gamma_\epsilon(x) \cap \Gamma_\Omega}^z)_\mathbb{R}$ is the identity connected component of $(\overline{\Gamma_\epsilon(x)}^z)_\mathbb{R}$, and its index is at most m . □

Remark 2.6. Although ϵ could be taken to be $1/m$, we use different letters for them because they play different roles. In the sequel we will assume that the above lemma is satisfied with ϵ replaced by 10ϵ .

For $g \in G$ we denote by $\{d_g \leq \epsilon\}$ the sub-level set

$$\{d_g \leq \epsilon\} = \{x \in S : d_g(x) \leq \epsilon\}.$$

More generally, for any function $\phi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined on an abstract set L , and for any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, we let $\{\phi \leq \tau\}$ denote

$$\{\phi \leq \tau\} = \{x \in L : \phi(x) \leq \tau\}.$$

We denote by Γ^u the set of unipotent elements in Γ ,

$$\Gamma^u = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma \text{ is unipotent}\}.$$

Definition 2.7 (The unipotent thick-thin decomposition for $M = S/\Gamma$).

In the universal covering $S = \tilde{M}$ we take:

$$\tilde{M}_{\leq \epsilon^u} = \cup_{\gamma \in \Gamma^u \setminus \{1\}} \{d_\gamma \leq \epsilon\},$$

and

$$\tilde{M}_{\geq \epsilon^u} = \overline{\tilde{M} \setminus \tilde{M}_{\leq \epsilon^u}}.$$

We define the unipotent thin part $M_{\leq \epsilon^u} \subset M$ and the unipotent thick part $M_{\geq \epsilon^u} \subset M$ to be the images of $\tilde{M}_{\leq \epsilon^u}$ and $\tilde{M}_{\geq \epsilon^u}$ under the universal covering map.

It follows from lemma 2.5 that if γ is an element of a non-uniform arithmetic lattice of G and $\inf d_\gamma < \epsilon$, then γ^j is unipotent for some $j \leq m$. Since $d_{\gamma^j}(x) \leq j \cdot d_\gamma(x)$, we obtain:

Corollary 2.8. *The injectivity radius at any point of $M_{\geq \epsilon^u}$ is at least ϵ/m .*

We wish to replace $M = S/\Gamma$ by its unipotent thick part $M_{\geq \epsilon^u}$ (where the injectivity radius is large), and then to modify $M_{\geq \epsilon^u}$ (to a subset with “smother” boundary) without changing the homotopy type. We shall use the following lemma twice:

Lemma 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of non-negative continuous functions on S , such that for any $x \in S$ and any $\tau > 0$ the set $\Psi_{x,\tau} = \{\phi \in \mathcal{F} : \phi(x) \leq \tau\}$ is finite, and such that each $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$ is C^1 on the set $\overline{\{\phi > 0\}}$. (The gradient $\nabla \phi$ of ϕ on the boundary $\partial\{\phi > 0\}$ is defined as the tangent*

vector with length and direction equal to the value of the maximal directional derivative and the direction at which it occurs.) Let $L = \cap_{\phi \in \mathcal{F}} \overline{\{\phi > 0\}}$. Assume that there is a continuous function $\beta : \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{> 0}$ (in case all $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$ are strictly positive we allow β to be defined only on $\mathbb{R}^{> 0}$) such that for any subset $\Psi \subset \mathcal{F}$ for which $\cap_{\phi \in \Psi} \{\phi \leq 3\epsilon\} \neq \emptyset$, and for any $x \in L$, there is a unit tangent vector $\hat{n}(x, \Psi) \in T_x(S)$ such that

$$\hat{n}(x, \Psi) \cdot \nabla \phi(x) \geq \beta(\phi(x))$$

for any $\phi \in \Psi$. Then there is a deformation retract from L to the “ $\mathcal{F}$ -thick part” $L_{\geq \epsilon} = \cap_{\phi \in \mathcal{F}} \{\phi \geq \epsilon\}$. (In particular it follows that $L_{\geq \epsilon} \neq \emptyset$.)

If L is $S = \tilde{M}$ or $\tilde{M}_{\geq \epsilon^u}$, and the family $\mathcal{F}$ is Γ -invariant, in the sense that the function $\gamma \cdot \phi(x) = \phi(\gamma^{-1} \cdot x)$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}$ for any $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then there exist such a deformation retract from $M = S/\Gamma$ (resp. from $M_{\geq \epsilon^u} = \tilde{M}_{\geq \epsilon^u}/\Gamma$) to the image of $L_{\geq \epsilon}$ under the universal covering map.

Proof. We will define an appropriate continuous vector field on L . The desired deformation retract will be the flow along this vector field.

For any non-empty subset $\Psi \subset \mathcal{F}$ for which $\cap_{\phi \in \Psi} \{\phi \leq 3\epsilon\} \neq \emptyset$ and any $x \in L$, let $\hat{f}(x, \Psi) \in T_x(S)$ be a unit tangent vector which maximizes the expression

$$\min\{\hat{f} \cdot \nabla \phi(x) : \phi \in \Psi\}.$$

It follows from the strictly convexity of the Euclidean unit disk that $\hat{f}(x, \Psi)$ is uniquely determined, and consequently, that for a fixed Ψ , the vector field $\hat{f}(x, \Psi)$ is continuous. Additionally

$$\hat{f}(x, \Psi) \cdot \nabla \phi(x) \geq \hat{n}(x, \Psi) \cdot \nabla \phi(x) \geq \beta(\phi(x))$$

for any $\phi \in \Psi$.

Let $\delta(x)$ denote

$$\delta(x) = \min_{\phi \in \mathcal{F}} \phi(x).$$

The desired vector field is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{V}(x) = & \sqrt{2(\epsilon - \delta(x)) \vee 0} \cdot \\ & \cdot \sum_{\Psi} \frac{(3\epsilon - \max_{\phi \in \Psi} \phi(x)) \vee 0}{\epsilon} \cdot \frac{(\min_{\phi \notin \Psi} \phi(x) - \epsilon) \vee 0}{\epsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta(\delta(x))} \hat{f}(x, \Psi), \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is taken over all non-empty finite subsets $\Psi \subset \mathcal{F}$.

Clearly all the coefficients are continuous, and $\vec{V} \equiv 0$ on the $\mathcal{F}$ -thick part

$$\{\delta \geq \epsilon\} = \cap_{\phi \in \mathcal{F}} \{\phi \geq \epsilon\}.$$

The term $\sqrt{2(\epsilon - \delta(x))} \vee 0$ takes care of the continuity on the boundary $\{\delta = \epsilon\} = \partial\{\delta \leq \epsilon\}$ of the $\mathcal{F}$ -thin part. The terms $\frac{(3\epsilon - \max_{\phi \in \Psi} \phi(x)) \vee 0}{\epsilon}$ guarantee that all the non-zero summands correspond to sets which are contained in the finite set $\Psi_{x, 3\epsilon}$. In particular the summation is finite for any $x \in L$, and $\hat{f}(x, \Psi)$ is defined for any non-zero summand. The terms $\frac{(\min_{\phi \notin \Psi} \phi(x) - \epsilon) \vee 0}{\epsilon}$ guarantee that all the non-zero summands correspond to Ψ 's which contains $\Psi_{x, \epsilon}$.

If $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$ satisfies $\phi(x) = \delta(x)$ then

$$\nabla \phi(x) \cdot \hat{f}(x, \Psi) \geq \beta(\delta(x)),$$

for any Ψ which contains ϕ . Thus, if $\delta(x) < \epsilon$ then

$$\nabla \phi(x) \cdot \vec{V}(x) \geq \sqrt{2(\epsilon - \delta(x))}.$$

To see this, we need only to look at the summand which corresponds to $\Psi = \Psi_{x, 2\epsilon}$.

It follows that if $x(t)$ is an integral curve of $\vec{V}$ with $\delta(x(0)) < \epsilon$, then

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\delta(x(t))) \geq \sqrt{2(\epsilon - \delta(x(t)))}.$$

(To be more precise, since $\delta(x(t))$ is not differentiable, we should write $\liminf_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\delta(x(t+\tau)) - \delta(x(t))}{\tau}$ instead of $\frac{d}{dt}(\delta(x(t)))$ in the estimation above.)

Thus, for $t = \sqrt{2(\epsilon - \delta(x(0)))}$ we have $\delta(x(t)) = \epsilon$.

Since $x \in L$ belongs to ∂L iff $\delta(x) = 0$, and hence, the vector field $\vec{V}$ pointing everywhere towards the interior $\text{int}(L)$, it follows from Peano existence theorem of solution for ordinary differential equations, that for any $x \in L$ there is an integral curve $x(t)$ of $\vec{V}$, defined for all $t \geq 0$ with $x(0) = x$ and with $x(t) \in \text{int} L$ for $t > 0$.

Concluding the above discussion, we get that the flow along $\vec{V}$ for $\sqrt{2\epsilon}$ time units defines a deformation retract from L to $L_{\geq \epsilon} = \{\delta \geq \epsilon\}$.

If L is $S = \tilde{M}$ or $\tilde{M}_{\geq \epsilon^u}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is Γ -invariant, then $\overrightarrow{V}(x)$, as it is defined above, is also Γ -invariant. Hence it induces a vector field on L/Γ , and a deformation retract from L/Γ to $L_{\geq \epsilon^{\mathcal{F}}}/\Gamma$. $\square$

We will apply lemma 2.9 to prove propositions 2.10 and 2.11.

Proposition 2.10. *There is a deformation retract from M to $M_{\geq \epsilon^u}$.*

Proof. We will show that the conditions of lemma 2.9 are satisfied with $L = S$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{d_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma^u \setminus \{1\}}$. The finiteness of the sets $\Psi_{x,\tau} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma^u \setminus \{1\} : d_\gamma(x) \leq \tau\}$ follows from the compactness of $\{g \in G : d_g(x) \leq \tau\}$ together with the discreteness of Γ . All the functions $\{d_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma^u \setminus \{1\}}$ are strictly positive. We shall find a continuous function $\beta : \mathbb{R}^{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{>0}$, and an appropriate direction $\hat{n}(x) \in T_x(S)$ for any $x \in S$.

Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k$ be unipotent elements of Γ for which $\cap_{i=1}^k \{d_{\gamma_i} < 3\epsilon\} \neq \emptyset$. By lemma 2.5 the Zariski closure $\overline{\Delta}^z$ of the group $\Delta = \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k \rangle$ has a unipotent identity component. Since γ_i is unipotent, it is contained in the Zariski closure of the cyclic group generated by any power of it. As γ_i^j belongs to the identity component $(\overline{\Delta}^z)^0$ for some $j \leq m$, $\overline{\Delta}^z$ is Zariski connected and hence the group of its real points $(\overline{\Delta}^z)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a connected unipotent group which contains $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k$.

Let $N \leq G$ be a maximal connected unipotent subgroup which contains $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k$. Let $W \leq S(\infty)$ be the Weyl chamber of the Tits boundary of S which corresponds to N . Fix arbitrarily $x \in S$ and let $c(t) = c_x(t)$ be the geodesic line with $c(0) = x$ for which $c(-\infty)$ is the center of W .

It is easy to see that the stabilizer of $c(\infty)$ intersects N trivially. Therefore

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \left(d_g(c(t)) \right) > 0$$

for any $g \in N \setminus \{1\}$. In addition, the continuous function

$$h(g) = \dot{c}(0) \cdot \nabla d_g(x)$$

attains a minimum on the compact set

$$\{g \in N : d_g(x) = \tau\}.$$

We define $\beta(\tau)$ to be this minimum. Clearly, β is a continuous positive function independent of $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k$. The conditions of lemma 2.9 are satisfied with the tangent vector $\hat{n}(x, \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k\}) = \dot{c}_x(0)$. $\square$

We require few more notations:

For a subset A of S or of M , we denote by $D_A(x)$ the distance function

$$D_A(x) = d(x, A),$$

and by $(A)_\tau$ its τ -neighborhood

$$(A)_\tau = \{x : D_A(x) < \tau\}.$$

We wish to replace the unipotent thick-thin decomposition

$$M = M_{\leq \epsilon^u} \cup M_{\geq \epsilon^u}$$

by the “more smooth” decomposition

$$M = M_{\leq^u} \cup M_{\geq^u}$$

where $M_{\leq^u} = (M_{\leq \epsilon^u})_\epsilon$ and $M_{\geq^u} = M \setminus (M_{\leq \epsilon^u})_\epsilon$ for which we have a control on the curvature of the boundary (see 2.13). In order to do this we need the following:

Proposition 2.11. *There is a deformation retract from $M_{\geq \epsilon^u} = M \setminus M_{\leq \epsilon^u}$ to $M_{\geq^u} = M \setminus (M_{\leq \epsilon^u})_\epsilon$.*

Proof. We just have to show that the conditions of lemma 2.9 are satisfied with $L = M_{\geq \epsilon^u}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{D_{\{d_\gamma \leq \epsilon\}}\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma^u \setminus \{1\}}$. Again, the finiteness of the sets $\Psi_{x,\tau} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma^u \setminus \{1\} : D_{\{d_\gamma \leq \epsilon\}}(x) \leq \tau\}$ follows from the discreteness of Γ together with the compactness of $\{g \in G : D_{\{d_g \leq \epsilon\}}(x) \leq \tau\}$. We shell define the direction $\hat{n}(x, \Psi) \in T_x(S)$ analogously to the way it is done in the proof of 2.10, and shell show that the conditions of lemma 2.9 are satisfied with the constant function $\frac{\beta(\epsilon)}{2}$, where β is the function defined in the proof of 2.10.

Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k \in \Gamma$ be unipotent elements for which $\cap \{D_{\{d_{\gamma_i} \leq \epsilon\}} \leq 3\epsilon\} \neq \emptyset$. Since

$$(\{d_{\gamma_i} \leq \epsilon\})_{3\epsilon} \subset \{d_{\gamma_i} \leq 7\epsilon\}$$

it follows that $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k$ are contained in a connected unipotent group. As in the proof of 2.10, let N be a maximal connected unipotent group which contains $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k$, let $W \subset S(\infty)$ be the Weyl chamber which corresponds to N in the Tits boundary, and for a fixed $x \in \tilde{M}_{\geq \epsilon^u}$ let $c(t) = c_x(t)$ be the geodesic line with $c(0) = x$ which comes from the center $c(-\infty)$

of W . Since $d_{\gamma_i}(x) > \epsilon$ and since $d(c(t), \gamma_i \cdot c(t))$ tends to 0 as $t \rightarrow -\infty$, we have $d_{\gamma_i}(c(t_0)) = \epsilon$ for some negative t_0 .

Since the set $\{d_{\gamma_i} \leq \epsilon\}$ is convex, the distance function $D_{\{d_{\gamma_i} \leq \epsilon\}}$ is convex. Therefore the function $D_{\{d_{\gamma_i} \leq \epsilon\}}(c(t))$ has non-decreasing derivative. Thus, taking $\hat{n}(x, \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k\}) = \dot{c}(0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{n}(x, \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k\}) \cdot \nabla D_{\{d_{\gamma_i} \leq \epsilon\}}(x) &= \\
&= \dot{c}(0) \cdot \nabla D_{\{d_{\gamma_i} \leq \epsilon\}}(x) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} D_{\{d_{\gamma_i} \leq \epsilon\}}(c(t)) \\
&\geq \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=t_0} D_{\{d_{\gamma_i} \leq \epsilon\}}(c(t)) \\
&= \dot{c}(t_0) \cdot \nabla D_{\{d_{\gamma_i} \leq \epsilon\}}(c(t_0)) \\
&= \dot{c}(t_0) \cdot \frac{\nabla d_{\gamma_i}(c(t_0))}{\|\nabla d_{\gamma_i}(c(t_0))\|} \\
&\geq \dot{c}(t_0) \cdot \frac{\nabla d_{\gamma_i}(c(t_0))}{2} \geq \frac{\beta(\epsilon)}{2},
\end{aligned}$$

where β is the function defined in the proof of 2.10. Since $\|\nabla D_{\{d_{\gamma_i} \leq \epsilon\}}\| = 1$ everywhere outside $\{d_{\gamma_i} < \epsilon\}$, and $\|\nabla d_{\gamma_i}\| \leq 2$ as d_{γ_i} is 2-Lipschitz. $\square$

Set $b = \frac{2}{\beta(\epsilon)}$. For any $x \in S$ we denote

$$\Psi_{x,\tau} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma^u \setminus \{1\} : D_{\{\gamma \leq \epsilon\}}(x) \leq \tau\},$$

and we chose the direction $\overrightarrow{F}(x) \in T_x(S)$ which maximizes the expression

$$\min_{\gamma \in \Psi_{x,2\epsilon}} \overrightarrow{F} \cdot \nabla D_{\{\gamma \leq \epsilon\}}(x).$$

Then as it is shown in the proof of 2.11,

$$\overrightarrow{F}(x) \cdot \nabla D_{\{\gamma \leq \epsilon\}}(x) > 1/b,$$

whenever $\gamma \in \Psi_{x,2\epsilon}$ and $x \in \tilde{M}_{\geq \epsilon^u} = \tilde{M} \setminus (\tilde{M}_{\leq \epsilon^u})_\epsilon$. This implies:

Proposition 2.12. *For any $\epsilon > t > 0$ the Hausdorff distance*

$$Hd(\partial M_{\leq^u}, \partial(M_{\leq^u})_t) < b \cdot t.$$

Proof. By definition $d(x, \partial M_{\leq u}) = t$ for any $x \in \partial(M_{\leq u})_t$.

Let $x \in \partial \tilde{M}_{\leq u}$ and let $c(t)$ be the geodesic line through x with $\dot{c}(0) = \vec{F}(x)$ then

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} D_{\{d_\gamma \leq \epsilon\}}(c(t)) = \vec{F}(x) \cdot \nabla D_{\{d_\gamma \leq \epsilon\}}(x) > 1/b$$

for any $\gamma \in \Psi_{x, 2\epsilon}$. Since the convex function $D_{\{d_\gamma \leq \epsilon\}}(c(t))$ has non-decreasing derivative we get that $\frac{d}{dt}(D_{\{d_\gamma \leq \epsilon\}}(c(t))) > 1/b$ for any $t > 0$. Thus, the point $c(bt)$ is outside $(\tilde{M}_{\leq u})_t$, and so the distance from x to $\partial(\tilde{M}_{\leq u})_t$ is less than bt . $\square$

The reason we prefer to work the ϵ -neighborhood $M_{\leq u} = (M_{\leq \epsilon})_\epsilon$ of the unipotent thin part is that it has no “sharp cusps”. In other words, its curvature is uniformly bounded.

Lemma 2.13. *For an isometry γ and a point $x \in \partial(\{d_\gamma \leq \epsilon\})_\epsilon$, the ϵ -ball for which the boundary sphere tangent at x to the boundary of $(\{d_\gamma \leq \epsilon\})_\epsilon$, with the same external normal, is contained in $(\{d_\gamma \leq \epsilon\})_\epsilon$.*

Proof. The distance between x and its closest point $\pi(x)$ in the closed convex set $\{d_\gamma \leq \epsilon\}$ is easily seen to be ϵ , and the ϵ -ball centered at $\pi(x)$ is the required one. (Recall that $\partial(\{d_\gamma \leq \epsilon\})_\epsilon$ is smooth.) $\square$

The following two lemmas are clear.

Lemma 2.14. *Let $B' \subset B$ be topological spaces, and let F_t ($t \in [0, 1]$) be a deformation retract of B such that $F_t(b) \in B'$ for any $b \in B', t \in [0, 1]$. Then $F_t|_{B'}$ is a deformation retract of B' .*

Let B_r denotes a ball of radius r in S , and $B_r(x)$ the one centered at $x \in S$.

Lemma 2.15. *There is a constant l such that for any $\delta < 1$,*

$$l \cdot \mu(B_{\delta/2}) \geq \mu(B_{(b+1.5)\delta}).$$

Thus any δ -discrete subset of $(B_{(b+1)\delta})$ consists of at most l elements.

For a finite set $\{y_1, \dots, y_t\} \subset S$ we denote by $\sigma(y_1, \dots, y_t)$ its Chebyshev center, i.e. the unique point which minimize the function $\max_{1 \leq i \leq t} d(x, y_i)$.

We will soon take B to be an intersection of balls, and $B' \subset B$ to be the intersection of B with the modified unipotent thick part $B' = B \cap M_{\geq u}$. We intend to use 2.14 in order to show that, under some certain conditions B' is contractible. It is natural to use the so called star contraction to the Chebyshev center of the centers of the associated balls. This is the deformation retract which flows any point of B on the geodesic segment which connect it to the required center, with constant velocity, 1 over the initial distance. In order to do this, we need the following:

Proposition 2.16. *There exist $0 < \delta < \frac{\epsilon}{m(b+1)}$ such that for any point $x \in S$, any ϵ -ball C which contains x on its boundary sphere, and any l points $y_1, \dots, y_l \in B_{(b+1)\delta}(x) \setminus (C)_\delta$, the inner product of the external normal of C at x and the tangent at x to the geodesic segment $[x, \sigma(y_1, \dots, y_l)]$ is positive.*

Proof. The Riemannian metric on the ball of radius $(b+1)\delta$ is approximated by an ordinary Euclidean metric up to $o(\delta^2)$. Thus for small δ , an approximated description of the above situation is given in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{\dim(S)}$ by a half space, replacing the ϵ -ball (as ϵ/δ is very big), a point x on its boundary hyper-plane and l points at distance at least δ from this half space and at most $(b+1)\delta$ from x . Clearly, the unit vector pointing from x to the Chebyshev center of these points has positive scalar product with the external normal to the half space at x , which is in fact $\geq$ then some positive constant depending only on b .

For more detailed proof see proposition 4.21 in [7] and its proof. $\square$

It follows from proposition 2.12 that the union of a collection $\mathcal{C}$ of balls of radius $(b+1)\delta$, for which the set of centers form a maximal δ -discrete subset of $M \setminus (M_{\leq u})_\delta$, covers $M_{\geq u} = M \setminus M_{\leq u}$. Note that $(b+1)\delta \leq \epsilon/m$, and thus, these balls are injected (see corollary 2.8). We fix such a collection, and for any subset $B \subset M$ we denote by B' its intersection with $M_{\geq u}$.

Recall the following terminology from [4]: A cover of a topological space T is called *good cover* if any non-empty intersection of its sets is contractible. In that case, the simplicial complex which corresponds to the nerve of the cover (its vertices corresponds to the sets of the cover and a collection of vertices form a simplex if the corresponding sets contain a common point) is homotopically equivalent to T .

Proposition 2.17. *Let B be the intersection of l (not necessarily deferent) balls of our collection $\mathcal{C}$, with centers $y_1, \dots, y_l$. If B is not empty then $\sigma(y_1, \dots, y_l) \in B'$ and the star-contraction from B to $\sigma(y_1, \dots, y_l)$ induces a contraction of B' . Hence the open set B' is non-empty and diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^d$. In particular $\{B' : B \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is a good cover, and the simplicial complex $\mathcal{R}$ corresponding to its nerve is homotopically equivalent to $M_{\geq u}$, and therefore also to M .*

Proof. Lemma 2.13 implies that for $\tilde{x} \in \partial \tilde{M}_{\leq u}$ and for any $\gamma \in \Gamma^u$ for which $\tilde{x} \in \partial(\{d_\gamma \leq \epsilon\})_\epsilon$ there is an ϵ -ball, tangent to $\partial(\{d_\gamma \leq \epsilon\})_\epsilon$ at $\tilde{x}$, which is contained in $(\{d_\gamma \leq \epsilon\})_\epsilon \subset \tilde{M}_{\leq u}$. Thus if in addition the image x of $\tilde{x}$ belongs to B , proposition 2.16 implies that the geodesic segment $[x, \sigma(y_1, \dots, y_l)]$ is inside B' . The proposition follows from lemma 2.14 $\square$

We conclude that M is homotopically equivalent to $\mathcal{R}$. Since the collection of centers of the sets of $\mathcal{C}$ is δ -discrete, we get that the number of vertices of $\mathcal{R}$, $|\mathcal{C}|$, is $\leq \frac{\mu(M)}{\mu(B_{\delta/2})}$. Since the sets of $\mathcal{C}$ are subsets of $(b+1)\delta$ -balls, each of them intersects at most $\frac{\mu(B_{2(b+1.25)\delta})}{\mu(B_{\delta/2})}$ of the others. Hence, all the vertices degrees of $\mathcal{R}$ are $\leq d := \frac{\mu(B_{2(b+1.25)\delta})}{\mu(B_{\delta/2})}$. This complete the proof of theorem 2.1. $\blacksquare$

We now turn to the proof of 2.2. By Mostow's rigidity theorem, when the universal covering space S is not isometric to $\mathbb{H}^2$, irreducible locally symmetric manifolds are characterized by their fundamental groups. If M is non-compact arithmetic manifold locally isometric to S , and $\mathcal{R}$ is the simplicial complex corresponding to it by 2.1 then $\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(\mathcal{R})$. The fundamental group of $\mathcal{R}$ is the same as that of its 2-skeleton $\mathcal{R}^2$ (see [14]). We use a combinatorial argument to estimate the number of possibilities for $\mathcal{R}^2$. The 1-skeleton $\mathcal{R}^1$ is a graph with at most $c_1 V$ vertices, where $c_1 = 1/\mu(B_{\delta/2})$, and the degree of a vertices is at most $d = \mu(B_{2(b+1.25)\delta})/\mu(B_{\delta/2})$.

Lemma 2.18. *There are at most $V^{c_2 V}$ such graphs.*

Proof. Going on the $\leq c_1 V$ vertices one by one and choosing for each vertex a neighborhood from the available set of vertices at that stage, yield this estimation. $\square$

Lemma 2.19. *There are at most $c_1 V d^2$ triangles in such a graph.*

Proof. The number of triangles is bounded by the number of path of length 2, which is at most $c_1 V d^2$. $\square$

Corollary 2.20. *There are at most V^{CV} possibilities for the 2-skeleton.*

Proof. Describing a 2-skeleton amounts to describing a 1-skeleton and choosing a subset of its set of triangles. Thus there are at most $V^{c_2 V} 2^{c_1 V d^2} \leq V^{CV}$ possible 2-skeleton. $\square$

This complete the proof of theorem 2.2. $\blacksquare$

References

- [1] Ballmann, W., Gromov, M., Schroeder, V., *Manifolds of Nonpositive Curvature*, Birkhauser, 1985.
- [2] Borel, A., Commensurability classes and volumes of hyperbolic 3-manifolds, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, Ser. IV*, 8 (1981) 1-33.
- [3] Borel, A., Prasad, G., Finiteness theorems for discrete subgroups of bounded covolume in semi-simple groups, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* **69** (1989), 119-171.
- [4] Bott, R., Tu, L.W., *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology*, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [5] Burger, M., Gelander, T., Lubotzky, A., Mozes, S., Counting hyperbolic manifolds, preprint.
- [6] Chinburg, T., Volume of hyperbolic manifolds, *J. Differential Geometry* **18** (1983), 783-789.
- [7] Gelander T., Counting locally symmetric manifolds, preprint.
- [8] Lubotzky, A., Subgroup growth and congruence subgroups, *Invent. Math.* Springer-Verlag **119** (1995), 267-295.
- [9] Margulis, G.E., *Discrete Subgroups of Semisimple Lie Groups*, Springer-Verlag, 1990.

- [10] Margulis, G.E., Rohlfs, J., On the proportionality of covolumes of discrete subgroups. *Math. Ann* **275** (1986) 197-205
- [11] Platonov, V., Rapinchuk, A., *Algebraic Groups and Number Theory*, Academic Press, 1994.
- [12] Prasad, G., Volume of S -arithmetic quotients of semi-simple groups, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* **69** (1989), 91-117.
- [13] Raghunathan, M.S., *Discrete Subgroups of Lie Groups*, Springer, New York, 1972.
- [14] Springer, E., *Algebraic Topology*, Springer-Verlag, 1966.
- [15] Wang, H.C., Topics on totally discontinuous groups, *Symmetric Spaces*, edited by W. Boothby and G. Weiss (1972), M. Dekker, 460-487.